ſ	
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION
3	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
5	Plaintiff, DOCKET NO. 1:20-mj-416
6	VS.
7	
8	BRANDON CASERTA,
9	Defendant. /
10	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF DETENTION HEARING
12	BEFORE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE SALLY J. BERENS
13	GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN
14	October 13, 2020
15	
16	Court Reporter: Glenda Trexler
17	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
18	685 Federal Building 110 Michigan Street, N.W.
19	Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503
20	Proceedings reported by machine shorthand, transcript produced
21	by computer-aided transcription.
22	
23	
24	
25	

## APPEARANCES: 1 FOR THE GOVERNMENT: 2 3 MR. NILS R. KESSLER UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 330 Ionia Avenue, N.W. 4 P.O. Box 208 Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501-0208 5 Phone: (616) 456-2404 Email: Nils.Kessler@usdoj.gov 6 7 MR. AUSTIN JACOB HAKES UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 330 Ionia Avenue, N.W. 8 P.O. Box 208 9 Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501-0208 Phone: (616) 456-2404 10 austin.hakes@usdoj.gov Email: FOR THE DEFENDANT CASERTA: 11 12 MR. MICHAEL DARRAGH HILLS HILLS AT LAW, PC 425 South Westnedge Avenue 13 Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007 Phone: (269) 373-5430 14 mhills@hillslawoffice.com Email: 15 16 Grand Rapids, Michigan 17 October 13, 2020 18 19 3:55 p.m. PROCEEDINGS 20 THE COURT: All right. We are back on the record in 21 the matter of the bond hearing for Mr. Caserta. Mr. Hills is 22 23 here with Mr. Caserta, as is the prosecutor, Mr. Kessler and Mr. Hakes. 24 25 Mr. Kessler, are you ready to proceed?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KESSLER: Yes, Your Honor. As with the other defendants, Your Honor, we're going to rely on the evidence you heard during the prelim. I'll just highlight a couple of additional things here, evidence that is already in. I do have one additional exhibit, which I don't think we really need to call Agent Trask up unless defense counsel It's a video that Mr. Caserta posted himself. It's pretty obvious it's him from just looking at the video. video of him, so . . . I'll just open this up so I can pull them up for you, Your Honor. I focus your attention on Exhibit 20 in which the defendant talks about -- or Defendant Caserta talks about being accosted by two police officers which is when they wrote him the ticket. And let's see, on page 2 -- I'll blow this up here -- this goes to his motive as well. That he says he's "tired of being coerced, robbed, and enslaved by pathetic cowards." That would be the police. And he concluded the sentence by saying "The end times are approaching for these piece-of-shit cops. I mean that with every cell in my body." On the next page --THE COURT: Hang on just a second.

MR. KESSLER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MR. KESSLER: On the next page of that same exhibit,

Exhibit 20. And this, again, is not that long ago. This is

September 19th. After being pulled over by two police officers

he says "I have these two guys' names. I'm considering doing a

recon. They work the night shift. I could easily tap them and

dip and no one would know a thing. Good practice."

Again, I'm sure he's going to say this was simply talk, but we've seen all the training he's done, and he seems to again be talking about tying up some loose ends if he were to be out there free.

And on Exhibit 25 he talks about -- with Mr. Garbin about wanting to get a suppressor himself, a silencer. And talks about wanting one for either a 556, which would be a AR 15-style semiautomatic rifle, or a 9-millimeter semiautomatic pistol.

And then I'm going to bring up Exhibit 29 if you're ready, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Give me just --

MR. KESSLER: Mr. Hills, do you want us to call the agent for this?

MR. HILLS: Maybe. I don't have a problem with the foundation right now. I don't have a problem with the foundation.

MR. KESSLER: I can proffer what it is. Exhibit 29 is a video.

THE COURT: Hang on. Hang on just a second. Let me

just catch up with you here. 1 All right. Exhibit 29, is that what you said? 2 MR. KESSLER: Proposed Exhibit 29 is a video that I 3 will proffer that if called as a witness Agent Trask would 4 testify was sent by Mr. Caserta to the other members of the 5 chat group on October 7th. I can bring him up here to say 6 7 that. MR. HILLS: No, that's okay. I might have some 8 questions for him after I see the video. I have not seen it 9 10 yet. THE COURT: Understood. 11 12 MR. KESSLER: All right. And this is a video that was sent after he was pulled over by police again recently. So 13 October 29. Or Exhibit 29. You know what, I'm going to do it 14 15 the other way. It comes up sideways through this program. (Video playing) 16 THE COURT: What was the date that you had associated 17 with that? 18 MR. KESSLER: October 7th, Your Honor. Last Tuesday. 19 I have nothing further, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hills. 21 MR. HILLS: I'm going to rely on the 22 23 Pretrial Services Report. I'm going to make a comment about a couple of family members in the court, but I don't have any 24 other evidence to show. 25

THE COURT: All right. All right. I'll take argument, Mr. Kessler.

MR. KESSLER: Yes, Your Honor. Again, as with the other defendants, the crime he was involved in is serious obviously. I think this last video was the most disturbing of all. When you put it together with Exhibit 20, he obviously has a problem with authority, and by asking to be let out on bond, that is what he is talking about. That he's going to somehow obey a piece of paper that's issued by the state which he says is enslaving him and is the enemy and that he's wanting to take out as many as he can.

He pretty casually, like with the last defendant, talks about killing people. It's definitely concerning that somebody pulls him over and he goes and finds out the names so he can suggest doing a recon and then murdering them for practice.

And then this last video obviously. You know, the whole notion of -- he seems extremely angry about being so-called enslaved by the state. I think it's hard to imagine he's going to follow the Court's orders.

Besides the obvious thing that we see in the videos, the Pretrial Services Report also points out some anger-management type issues he has. He's had some mental health issues which he denies. And actually it's more concerning that he denies them than he would be acknowledging

```
It's a dismissed charge, but he has a charge in the past
 1
     for assault and battery which suggests again the same
 2
     propensity towards violence. And also the propensity for just
     doing what he wants and disregarding authority, which
 4
     ordinarily I wouldn't highlight things like driving on a
 5
     suspended license, which underlies his hatred of the police,
 6
 7
     but it's over and over again. And we see that he has license
     revoked and he keeps driving on a suspended license despite
 8
     being convicted for it over and over again. And then if he
 9
10
     gets pulled over thinks that it's the cops' fault and they
     deserve to die for it. So I think under all those
11
     circumstances, Your Honor, we really can't trust him to abide
12
     by the Court's orders and letting him go would be not safe for
13
     the public.
14
15
               THE COURT: Can you reference what you're telling me
     about anger-management issues? Other than the assault and
16
     battery.
17
               MR. KESSLER: I am inferring from that, Your Honor.
18
19
               THE COURT: I'm sorry?
               MR. KESSLER: I'm inferring from that.
20
               THE COURT: I see.
21
               MR. KESSLER: And there's mental health issues and
22
23
     the whole totality.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
25
               MR. KESSLER: Yes, Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: Mr. Hills.

MR. HILLS: Thank you, Your Honor. Well, the assault and battery was when my client was 19 years old and the case was dismissed.

As far as driving on a revoked license, it's a no ops on person, operating without a license on person. It's not driving without. And they are very, very minor cases. And the last one was six years ago. I don't think criminal history is really an issue for the Court. I don't think it will consider that weighing very heavily at all.

As far as mental health issues, it looks like he may have been diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, excessive compulsive disorder, and Tourette's when he was a child, and he was prescribed medication and it resolved. That's the only thing I see regarding that.

Regarding other characteristics, his history and characteristics, I don't think he has any mental health issues. He's got strong family ties. He's got his aunt in the courtroom today. He's got his stepbrother in the courtroom today. I've been in contact with his mother who is very supportive of him.

He has solid long-term employment for two years nine months I believe it indicates. With a nice job. A very good job, stable job. He's been in the same I believe apartment for three years, I believe. Since 2007. He's lived

in Michigan all his life. His criminal history is negligible. He's not on parole or probation at all.

Regarding the incidents that we -- I guess spurred this video and some comments on the encrypted chat came from a stop by the police. There was no incident on the road. No offensive behavior on the road. There was no follow-through. I think it was September 19th, I believe, the stop was, so there's plenty of time to do what he's talking about. Recon, try and find out where these officers lived. Try and do something. He did none of that, right? He's just sort of this inflammatory, clearly, rhetoric is what I would call it.

And I believe that that kind of leads into what the Court has been considering the more important issue for bond which is dangerousness and the offense itself. So when I look at it, it's the same pattern throughout, and I'll go through some of it, but it's inflammatory rhetoric with no follow-through. Especially in this.

In this case if you look at the Complaint, my client doesn't -- Mr. Caserta does not show up until June 28th.

That's at the Munith training. And that's what it is. It's an FTX, completely legal. I'm not sure which training that video was from, but it was my client doing the training. And that's about it.

He went next to Wisconsin. The big thing about Wisconsin was the balloon with the BBs in it as an IED, which

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

my client wasn't a part of that at all. He's there to do the training.

After that I believe it's Lake Orion, and that's not a training. That's where they get together. And again my client makes a statement. It's inflammatory rhetoric is what I would call it. It's not any aspect of planning for kidnapping the governor.

And then finally, the last place my client was in the Complaint was Luther. September, I think, 12th and 13th was that event. And that again is a training. And the big thing at that particular event was a second surveillance. There was one before that which my client wasn't a part of. And then at that Luther event there was a three-car surveillance that they did with multiple people that my client wasn't a part of. client wasn't a part of any of the specific planning. wasn't a part of any of the surveillance. None of the recon. None of the map making. None of the IEDs. He didn't contribute any money. He was not a leader, I quess, as they testified. So when you take all of that together, and his history and characteristics, I don't think the dangerousness applies to my client as far as the conspiracy to kidnap charge. So I would ask the Court to take all that together and the fact that my client wasn't there on October 7th. He didn't bring money to that final meeting, I suppose. And he was cooperative. There's no indication that he has anything

illegal in his apartment. There are conditions that can be met. Obviously no weapons, electronic monitoring I think would be appropriate, and I would ask the Court to follow Pretrial Services' recommendation in that regard. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Kessler.

MR. KESSLER: Yes, Your Honor. This is a minor thing I would just point out. While he was convicted of operating without a license on the person, both of those times the charge -- it says the charges were reduced from driving while license was suspended or revoked. Again, I'm not suggesting those are the most serious crimes in the world, they just go to his attitude towards conditions that are placed upon him by the court or by the law in general.

I would also -- I'm going to go ahead and agree with Mr. Hills that it doesn't appear to me either that he's a leader of this group. And, again, you don't need to be a leader to be dangerous. You don't need to be a leader to be part of a conspiracy, and you don't need to be a leader to be dangerous. Sometimes the followers can be the most dangerous.

I think just from what we've seen of Mr. Caserta's attitude towards the state, towards law enforcement, it may be inflammatory rhetoric, but it seems pretty sincere that it's coming from the heart that he feels he's being enslaved by the state. He may be the most motivated of all these people. Not so much by any high-fluting political ideas about the

Constitution but just hatred for the police and the state and he wants to use the firearm skills he's been training on. I just don't think it's a great idea to let him out, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. Mr. Caserta, this matter is governed by the Bail Reform Act of 1984. Under the Bail Reform Act I have to release you on bond unless I find either by a preponderance of the evidence that you are a risk of flight or nonappearance or by clear and convincing evidence that you're a danger to the community. I'm required to consider the least-restrictive condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure your appearance and the community's safety. And I have considered each of the possible conditions set out in the statute as well as in particular those suggested by the Pretrial Services Report.

In determining whether there are sufficient conditions to reasonably assure your appearance and the safety of the community, I have to consider a number of factors: I have to consider the nature and circumstances of the offense charged here, a very serious and violent offense; I also have to consider the weight of the evidence of dangerousness; and your history and characteristics; and the nature and seriousness of danger to any person or the community that would be posed by your release.

So in going through what is in front of me, the

defendant is 32 years old. He is a life-long Michigan resident. He has apparently very solid housing and employment. Strong family ties. I don't see a current mental health issue.

And while I take Mr. Kessler's note regarding prior offenses related to licensing and operating without a license, that kind of offense, the criminal history here is not so serious that I would give it a lot of weight in terms of a bond decision.

In addition, Mr. Hills is correct that unlike some of the other folks involved or alleged to be involved in the conspiracy, there is less in the way of involvement, of taking independent steps, I guess, is the best way to put it with regard to Mr. Caserta as compared to some of the other defendants.

The evidence as I see it involves starting on

June 28th Mr. Caserta is in attendance at this tactical

training exercise in Munith. Others, including Mr. Caserta -
or there are folks that stay there. Mr. Franks leaves but

others stay. And everyone is told to leave if they are not

willing to participate in attacks against the government and in

kidnapping politicians.

Mr. Caserta is next present at a field training exercise in Wisconsin on July 10 through 12. There is an attempt by others, not Mr. Caserta, to construct an IED which failed. And there is nothing, at least nothing that has been

demonstrated to me, that is illegal about these field training exercises. Although, of course, they go to the background of later discussions. They also appear to be the situation or the scene for the discussions that take place about this larger plot.

Next, September 12 through 13, there is another field training exercise in Luther where an IED is constructed and detonated. At that exercise Mr. Fox takes a number of the defendants, including Mr. Caserta, aside to brief them on the plot. Mr. Caserta does not, however, go on the surveillance mission that evening and remains at the camp.

There was more discussion of the plot to kill or -excuse me -- plot to kidnap Governor Whitmer on September 13th
and the group agrees to discuss a field training exercise. Or
to conduct a field training exercise, excuse me, in
late-October.

I skipped over a statement that Mr. Caserta made on August 23 which is a little bit difficult to interpret, and so I'm not going to place a lot of weight on that one. There are other statements, unfortunately, that are more serious.

On September 17 in an encrypted group chat that Mr. Caserta is in there's this discussion about whether or not to participate in the protest at the Capitol. And Mr. Caserta rejects that idea altogether and says essentially that it's dumb because when the time comes -- "When the time comes, there

will be no need to try and strike fear through presence, the fear will be manifested through bullets."

Mr. Hills argues that that is just rhetoric, inflammatory rhetoric, but that there's no follow-through. It is not clear at this point whether or not the lack of follow-through was a result of -- at least to me from Mr. Caserta's point of view -- well, what the reason for the no follow-through was. And it may well be that it is simply the FBI's intervention that prevented follow-through in each of these cases. Or for each of these threats.

Mr. Caserta, as also noted by the government, in Exhibit 20 there is the discussion of the police officers and that he says "The end times are approaching for these piece-of-shit cops. I mean that with every cell in my body. Our time is coming soon, boys, and it's going to be satisfying."

He talks about he has their names. He talks about doing a recon. "They work night shift. I could easily tap them and dip and no one would know a thing. Good practice."

Exhibit 25 discusses getting a suppressor. And while that may be done legally, I would note that the government has introduced that, I don't put a lot of weight on that particular piece of evidence.

And then there is Exhibit 29 which is the video, and that is, unfortunately, sufficiently threatening to police

officers. I mean really very chilling. "I'm taking out as many of those motherfuckers as I can. Don't give them a chance." That discussion really makes it impossible to require a probation officer to supervise Mr. Caserta when there is that overt threat to law enforcement officers. And I cannot take -- or I cannot credit Mr. Harris's [sic] argument that this is all simply inflammatory rhetoric and that no follow-through is intended when it appears based on the testimony that we've heard over the course of today that follow-through is exactly what was intended but for intervention.

So considering all of that, and in particular the specific threats to law enforcement officers, I find by clear and convincing evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the safety of the community or of other persons.

I have considered, of course, what Mr. Hills argues regarding no weapons, electronic monitoring, but I just do not think in this circumstance that that is sufficient to overcome the threats that Mr. Caserta has made repeatedly. So it will be my order that Mr. Caserta be held in custody pending the trial in this matter.

Now, Mr. Caserta, I expect that you don't agree with my decision, but did you understand everything that happened in court today?

DEFENDANT CASERTA: Yeah, I did.

THE COURT: All right. What will happen next is 1 there will be continued hearings on Friday, and from there the 2 Court -- or the case will progress. 3 Mr. Kessler, anything else we have to take up today? 4 MR. KESSLER: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Hills? 6 7 MR. HILLS: No. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Then we'll be adjourned. 8 THE CLERK: All rise, please. Court is adjourned. 9 10 (Proceeding concluded at 4:20 p.m.) 11 12 CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a transcript from the 13 Liberty Court Recording System digital recording of the 14 15 proceedings in the above-entitled matter, transcribed to the best of my ability. 16 I further certify that the transcript fees and format 17 comply with those prescribed by the court and the Judicial 18 Conference of the United States. 19 20 21 October 28, 2020 22 23 /s/ Glenda Trexler Glenda Trexler, CSR-1436, RPR, 24 25